

**MINISTÉRIO DA EDUCAÇÃO** SECRETARIA DE EDUCAÇÃO PROFISSIONAL E TECNOLÓGICA INSTITUTO FEDERAL GOIANO – CAMPUS URUTAÍ PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM PROTEÇÃO DE PLANTAS

## S-metolachlor e atrazine afetam a cinética de absorção de água e a emissão radicular de sementes de milho: análise de imagem

Carlito Marçal dos Santos Júnior Eng. Agrônomo

URUTAÍ – GOIÁS 2021 Carlito Marçal dos Santos Júnior

# S-metolachlor e atrazine afetam a cinética de absorção de água e a emissão radicular de sementes de milho: análise de imagem

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Anderson Rodrigo da Silva

Dissertação apresentada ao Instituto Federal Goiano – Campus Urutaí, como parte das exigências do Programa de Pós-Graduação em Proteção de Plantas para obtenção do título de Mestre.

Urutaí – GO 2021

#### Sistema desenvolvido pelo ICMC/USP Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP) Sistema Integrado de Bibliotecas - Instituto Federal Goiano

 Junior, Carlito Marçal dos S. Jr
JJ95s S-metolachlor e atrazine afetam a cinética de absorção de água e a emissão radicular de sementes de milho: análise de imagem / Carlito Marçal dos S. Jr
Junior; orientador Anderson Rodrigo da Silva; coorientador Marco Antônio Moreira. -- Urutaí, 2021. 30 p.
Dissertação (Mestrado em Proteção de Plantas) --Instituto Federal Goiano, Campus Urutaí, 2021.
1. Zea mays L. 2. Embebição de sementes. 3. Herbicidas pré-emergentes. I. Rodrigo da Silva, Anderson , orient. II. Moreira, Marco Antônio, coorient. III. Título.

Responsável: Johnathan Pereira Alves Diniz - Bibliotecário-Documentalista CRB-1 nº2376



#### Repositório Institucional do IF Goiano - RIIF Goiano Sistema Integrado de Bibliotecas

#### TERMO DE CIÊNCIA E DE AUTORIZAÇÃO PARA DISPONIBILIZAR PRODUÇÕES TÉCNICO-CIENTÍFICAS NO REPOSITÓRIO INSTITUCIONAL DO IF GOIANO

Com base no disposto na Lei Federal nº 9.610/98, AUTORIZO o Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia Goiano, a disponibilizar gratuitamente o documento no Repositório Institucional do IF Goiano (RIIF Goiano), sem ressarcimento de direitos autorais, conforme permissão assinada abaixo, em formato digital para fins de leitura, download e impressão, a título de divulgação da produção técnico-científica no IF Goiano.

Identificação da Produção Técnico-Científica

- [] Artigo Científico [] Tese [X] Dissertação [] Capítulo de Livro [] Monografia – Especialização [] Livro
- [] TCC Graduação
- [] Trabalho Apresentado em Evento
- Produto Técnico e Educacional Tipo: \_

Nome Completo do Autor: Carlito Marçal dos Santos Junior

Matrícula: 2019101330540061

Título do Trabalho: S-metolachlor e atrazine afetam a cinética de absorção de água e a emissão radicular de sementes de milho: análise de imagem.

#### Restrições de Acesso ao Documento

Informe a data que poderá ser disponibilizado no RIIF Goiano: 28/08/2021 O documento está sujeito a registro de patente? [] Sim [X] Não O documento pode vir a ser publicado como livro? [] Sim [X] Não	

#### DECLARAÇÃO DE DISTRIBUIÇÃO NÃO-EXCLUSIVA

O/A referido/a autor/a declara que: 1. o documento é seu trabalho original, detém os direitos autorais da produção técnico-científica e não infringe os direitos de qualquer outra pessoa ou entidade;

2 obteve autorização de quaisquer materiais inclusos no documento do qual não detém os direitos de autor/a, para conceder ao Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia Goiano os direitos requeridos e que este material cujos direitos autorais são de terceiros, estão claramente identificados e reconhecidos no texto ou conteúdo do documento entregue:

3. cumpriu quaisquer obrigações exigidas por contrato ou acordo, caso o documento entregue seja baseado em trabalho financiado ou apoiado por outra instituição que não o Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia Goiano.

Urutai - GO. Barlito mançol des Sife

12/08/2021.

Assinatura do Autor e/ou Detentor dos Direitos Autorais

Ciente e de acordo:

Subg Oblig. J. S.h.

Assinatura do(a) orientador(a)



SERVIÇO PÚBLICO FEDERAL MINISTÉRIO DA EDUCAÇÃO SECRETARIA DE EDUCAÇÃO PROFISSIONAL E TECNOLÓGICA INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE EDUCAÇÃO, CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA GOIANO

## FOLHA DE APROVAÇÃO DA DISSERTAÇÃO

Título da dissertação: S-metolachlor e atrazine afetam a cinética de absorção de água e a emissão radicular de sementes de milho: análise de imagem.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Anderson Rodrigo da Silva

Autor: Carlito Marçal dos Santos Junior

Dissertação de Mestrado **APROVADA** em **28 de junho de 2021**, como parte das exigências para obtenção do Título **MESTRE EM PROTEÇÃO DE PLANTAS**, pela Banca Examinadora especificada a seguir:

Prof. Dr. Anderson Rodrigo da Silva - IF Goiano - Campus Orientador Urutaí Prof. Dr. Marco Antônio Moreira de Freitas IF Goiano - Campus Urutaí Dr. Wender Rezende Syngenta Documento assinado eletronicamente por:

- Wender Santos Rezende, Wender Santos Rezende 2148 ENGENHEIROS AGRIMENSORES E ENGENHEIROS CARTÓGRAFOS Cooperativa de Trabalho dos Profissionais de Agronomia Ltda Unicampo (72042799000190), em 29/06/2021 22:34:47.
- Marco Antonio Moreira de Freitas, COORDENADOR DE CURSO FUC1 CCPG-UR, em 28/06/2021 11:17:16.

Este documento foi emitido pelo SUAP em 25/06/2021. Para comprovar sua autenticidade, faça a leitura do QRCode ao lado ou acesse https://suap.ifgoiano.edu.br/autenticar-documento/ e forneça os dados abaixo:

Código Verificador: 284631 Código de Autenticação: 8cf39a905c



INSTITUTO FEDERAL GOIANO Campus Urutaí Rodovia Geraldo Silva Nascimento, Km 2,5, Zona Rural, None, URUTAI / GO, CEP 75790-000 (64) 3465-1900

#### **AGRADECIMENTOS**

Gostaria de agradecer primeiramente a Deus por ter me dado a oportunidade de viver esse momento em minha vida e por estar sempre guiando meus passos.

Agradecer minha família por sempre me apoiar em tudo e principalmente nesse momento tão conturbado que o mundo vem passando.

Agradecer aos meus Mestres de vida Sergio Alvarenga e Césio H. Brito por sempre me proporcionar expectativas de crescimento através do profissionalismo e ética em todo meu crescimento ao longo desses 16 anos.

Agradecer ao Jair José Bosque e Murilo de Deus, por acreditar e dar a oportunidade no ano 2004 a um garoto criado na roça e recém formado no colégio agrícola de ter esperança de trabalhar em uma grade empresa na área agrícola.

Agradecer aos meus professores do Instituto federal Goiano, campos Urutai, por proporcionarem o aprimoramento do meu conhecimento.

Agradecimento em especial aos meus orientadores Anderson R. Silva e Marco Antônio Moreira por me apoiarem a chegar a um patamar a mais em minha vida pessoal e profissional e também a toda sua equipe que dedicam ao máximo a tudo que fazem.

Muito obrigado a todos que diretamente ou indiretamente estava comigo nesse sonho que hoje se torna uma realidade.

## SUMÁRIO

RESUMO	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
CAPITULO	1
NORMAS DA REVISTA	14

#### **RESUMO**

Os herbicidas pré-emergentes podem ter efeitos negativos nas sementes de milho. O objetivo deste estudo foi modelar curvas de embebição de sementes de milho por meio do processamento de imagens RGB, sob o efeito das concentrações de s-metolachlor + atrazine na cinética de embebição e na emissão da raiz primária. As sementes foram acondicionadas em placas de Petri contendo soluções aquosas do herbicida S-metolachlor (290 g L-1) + atrazine (370 g L-1) por 114 horas nas concentrações: 0% (somente água), 2%, 5%, 10%, 20% e 50 %, com base na dose de recomendação (4,0 litros do produto comercial por hectare). As imagens foram obtidas sistematicamente de um scanner de mesa com controle de luz artificial. O índice de excesso de vermelho foi adaptado para melhorar a segmentação da imagem. A partir de máscaras binárias, as curvas de embebição para cada concentração de herbicida foram obtidas usando estimativas de intumescência das sementes por tempo. As curvas de embebição foram descritas ajustando o modelo de Peleg. A concentração do herbicida tem efeitos significativos tanto na taxa de absorção quanto na emissão da raiz primária, reduzindo-os. Vinte por cento, ou mais, de concentração de s-metolachlor (290 g L<sup>-1</sup>) + atrazine (370 g L<sup>-1</sup>) na solução aquosa pode inibir totalmente a germinação das sementes.

Palavras-chave: Zea mays L., embebição de sementes, herbicida pré-emergente.

#### ABSTRACT

Preemergent herbicides can have negative effects on maize seeds. The objective of this study was to model seed soaking curves through the processing of RGB imagery of maize seeds under the effect of concentrations of s-metolachlor + atrazine on both the soaking kinetics and primary root emission. Seeds were placed to soak in Petri dishes containing aqueous solutions of the herbicide for 114 hours containing *s*-metolachlor (290 g L<sup>-1</sup>) + atrazine (370 g L<sup>-1</sup>) with the concentrations: 0% (water only), 2%, 5%, 10%, 20% and 50%, based on the recommended dose (4.0 L of the commercial product per hectare). Images were systematically taken from a flatbed scanner with artificial light control. We adapted the red excess index to improve image segmentation. From the binary masks, the soaking curves for each herbicide concentration were obtained using estimates of seed intumescence in time. The soaking curves were described by fitting Peleg's model. The herbicide concentration has significative effects on both the absorption rate and primary root emission, reducing them. Twenty percent, or more, concentration of s-metolachlor (290 g L<sup>-1</sup>) + atrazine (370 g L<sup>-1</sup>) in the aqueous solution can fully inhibit seed germination.

Key words: Zea mays L., seed imbibition, preemergence herbicide.

## S-metolachlor plus atrazine affects the soaking kinetics and primary root emission of maize seeds: an imagery analysis (Image analysis of maize seed soaking kinetics)

#### Abstract

Preemergent herbicides can have negative effects on maize seeds. The objective of this study was to model seed soaking curves through the processing of RGB imagery of maize seeds under the effect of concentrations of *s*-metolachlor + atrazine on both the soaking kinetics and primary root emission. Seeds were placed to soak in Petri dishes containing aqueous solutions of the herbicide for 114 hours containing *s*-metolachlor (290 g L<sup>-1</sup>) + atrazine (370 g L<sup>-1</sup>) with the concentrations: 0% (water only), 2%, 5%, 10%, 20% and 50%, based on the recommended dose (4.0 L of the commercial product per hectare). Images were systematically taken from a flatbed scanner with artificial light control. We adapted the red excess index to improve image segmentation. From the binary masks, the soaking curves for each herbicide concentration were obtained using estimates of seed intumescence in time. The soaking curves were described by fitting Peleg's model. The herbicide concentration has significative effects on both the absorption rate and primary root emission, reducing them. Twenty percent, or more, concentration of *s*-metolachlor (290 g L<sup>-1</sup>) + atrazine (370 g L<sup>-1</sup>) in the aqueous solution can fully inhibit seed germination.

Key words: Zea mays L., seed imbibition, preemergence herbicide.

#### Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is an important world crop, as it can be used by humans and animals (cattle, poultry and pigs) as an important food source (FAOSTAT, 2017). Approximately 65% of the world's annual maize consumption is supplied by these main maize-producing countries: United States (320 million tons of grain), China (241 million tons of grain), European Union (76 million tons grain) and Brazil (62.5 million tons of grain) (de Oliveira et al., 2019). Agricultural practices, mainly aimed at maize, have evolved considerably in the last decades, with the adoption of integrated pest management. This trend triggered the use of herbicides, often in mixtures, in order to improve their efficiency and the range of treated weeds (Carles et al., 2018). However, this can cause negative effects on the seeds, altering the kinetics of absorption of water and/or solutes by the seeds, a process commonly studied through the imbibition curve.

The imbibition or rehydration process of viable maize seeds takes place, under the right condition of temperature and humidity, according to a three-phases pattern. The first phase consists of water absorption for the production of germination-inducing metabolites during the second phase, when the water absorption is drastically reduced or practically null. The third phase consists of the growth of the embryonic axis, again needing water absorption for cell division (Noblet et al., 2017).

The standard method for determining the imbibition curve consists of systematically weighing seed samples in imbibition (ISTA, 1985). Nevertheless, systems consisting of software and high-resolution digital imaging sensors have been developed for seed analysis (Halcro et al., 2020; Tanabata et al., 2012). Boelt et al. (2018) reviewed how physiological characteristics of seeds can be assessed using RGB (red, green, blue) and multispectral image

data. Thus, it is possible to automate the analysis of the seed imbibition process through computational image processing. In this sense, Miller et al. (2018) developed a system based on RGB scanner imaging for automating measurements related to imbibition of corn seeds.

Silva and Lima (2017) present models for the seed imbibition curve of different cultivated species, including maize, showing how to calculate important parameters such as absorption rates, considering the presence or absence of the third phase. Modelling the imbibition curve allows the comparison of seed lots with differences in physiological potential or subjected to different imbibition conditions.

Environmental factors such as temperature and humidity affect the duration of the imbibition phases and germination itself, thus allowing to define, for example, ideal planting conditions (Meyers et al., 1984). It is also known that phytosanitary products such as herbicides can affect the final stage of imbibition – primary root emission (Subedi et al., 2017). But the explanation for this lies in physiological changes in the first and second phases of the imbibition process.

Gomes et al. (2017) observed that doses of glyphosate caused disturbances in the electron transport chain, promoting  $H_2O_2$  accumulation in seeds of non-genetically modified soybean and, consequently, reduced germination. Moore and Locke (2012) found significant reductions in root growth of *Typha latifolia* (L.) subjected to *s*-metolachlor + atrazine. In sorghum hybrids, reductions of up to 69% in plant stand were caused by preemergence application of *s*-metolachlor + atrazine (Pimentel et al., 2019).

Atrazine is an herbicide of the triazine group (C1) used extensively throughout the world for the control of broadleaf plants and some narrow-leaved species, being used predominantly in the cultivation of maize, given its selectivity for this crop and its broad spectrum of control of different weed plants (Lerro et al., 2017). This herbicide has been usually applied in a mixture with acetochlor and s-metolachlor (Bedmar et al., 2011). Atrazine is known for its mobility in the soil, which makes it one of the most detected herbicides in surface waters (de Oliveira et al., 2019). Its mechanism of action involves the photosystem II, whose site of action is the thylakoid membrane, resulting in electron transport block and interruption of the fixation of  $CO_2$  and, consequently, the reduction of ATP and NADH<sub>2</sub> production; in addition, this herbicide also induces oxidative stress and causes lipid peroxidation (Lerro et al., 2017; Heck et al., 2020).

The herbicide *s*-metolachlor ([2-chloro-N- (2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1methylethyl) acetamide]) has also been widely used in the maize crops, applied in pre-mergence or in pre-planting incorporated for weed control, being one of the most sold herbicides for maize and soybean crop due to its selective performance (Carles et al., 2018; Song et al., 2019). *s*-Metolachlor belongs to the acetamide group (k3) and is formed by two R isomers and two S isomers, which are present in equal proportions in the herbicide, with the S isomer with higher herbicidal activity than the R isomer (Lowry et al., 2013). The use of *s*-metolachlor stands out, mainly for its effectiveness in controlling *Commelia benghalensis* L. (Pimentel et al., 2019). This herbicide is able to inhibit the synthesis of proteins, chlorophyll and very long chain fatty acids, in addition to acts on cell division, inhibiting the growth of target plants (Lowry et al., 2013). Moreover, the phytotoxic effect of *s*-metolachlor is observed after the germination of the seedlings, culminating in the non-opening of the coleoptile and wrinkling of the definitive leaves, caused by the lower growth of the central vein in relation to the growth of the leaf blade (Karam et al., 2006).

According to Barnes et al. (2019), *s*-metolachlor + atrazine can provide broad-spectrum weed control compared with either herbicide applied alone. With this perspective and considering the current need for preemergent herbicides to control weeds in maize crops, studying the seed soaking in herbicide solutions is important to reveal potentially harmful

effects of a given dose to the crop considered effective to control weeds (Mueller et al. 2014). In addition, understanding the effect of preemergent herbicides on both absorption of soil solution and germination is essential to carry out chemical management of weeds according to edaphic conditions, considering in particular the clay and organic matter content, given the sorption of preemergent herbicides in these particles of soil. Thus, it is possible to consider the feasibility of changing the timing of application or sowing to guarantee the plant stand.

We aimed to model the maize seed soaking curves by processing RGB images, as well as to quantify the effect of concentrations of the preemergent herbicide *s*-metolachlor + atrazine on the imbibition kinetics (initial imbibition phase) and on the primary root emission (final phase).

#### **Materials and methods**

#### Vegetal material and germination analysis

Commercial maize seeds, hybrid Syngenta Status Vip3, were obtained during the 2018/2019 harvest in an experimental area located at the geographic coordinates 18° 55' 32.9" S and 48° 09' 50.8" W, Mid-Western Brazil. An industrial phytosanitary treatment of the seeds was carried out with fungicide (100 mL/100 kg Metalaxyl-M 20 g/L + Thiabendazole 150 g/L + Fludioxonil 25 g/L) and insecticide (120 mL/60,000 seeds of 350 g/L Thiametoxam).

Assessments of the seed lot physiological quality were done in terms of:

*Germination*: four replications of 50 seeds were taken randomly. A sheet of germination paper was moistened with distilled water, the equivalent to 2.5 times the weight of the dry paper. The seeds were arranged on a paper roll and kept in a germination chamber, Mangelsdorf type, at a constant temperature of 25 °C. The count of normal seedlings was done after 8 days and the result was expressed as a percentage.

*Water content*: two replications of 10 seeds each were placed to dry in an oven at  $105 \pm 1$  °C for 24 hours. The initial and final weight of the samples was computed on a precision electronic scale (0.01 g precision) and the difference was expressed as a percentage.

*Weight of a thousand seeds*: 8 replications of 100 seeds each were weighed on a precision electronic scale (0.01 g precision) to estimate the weight of a thousand seeds.

#### Soaking curves: image processing

The seeds were soaked in aqueous solutions containing *s*-metolachlor (290 g L<sup>-1</sup>) + atrazine (370 g L<sup>-1</sup>) with the concentrations: 0% (water only), 2%, 5%, 10%, 20% and 50%, based on the recommended dose (4.0 L of the commercial product per hectare) and volume of 100 L of water (syrup) per hectare, aiming to simulate a wide gradient of herbicide concentration reaching the seeds through the soil solution. The doses were chosen according to the pesticide description leaflet from the registration system of the Ministry of Agriculture of Brazil, which presents products together with their recommended doses, toxicological classification and environmental risk (AGROFIT, 2020). In addition, the concentrations were based on studies by de Oliveira et al. (2019), Joly et al. (2013) and Moore and Locke (2012), with adaptations.

Three replications of ten seeds each were placed to soak in Petri dishes of 90 mm diameter containing 20 mL of the solution and kept in a germination chamber, Mangelsdorf type, at 25 °C during the entire imbibition process. RGB images of the dishes were captured using a flatbed scanner model Epson Perfection V19, with resolution of 600 pixels per inch and

dimensions  $100 \times 100$  mm, every hour during the first 6 hours, and then in two-hour intervals until 12 hours of imbibition; afterwards, the images were acquired at intervals of four hours up to 24 hours of imbibition and, finally, at intervals of 6 hours until 50% of the seeds of each sample presented emission of primary root. To control light variation, a box coated with opaque black paper was placed over the scanner platform, covering it completely.

The images were processed as follows:

i) normalization of the intensity values of pixels of the spectral bands to the interval [0, 1] (Eq. 1);

$$r = \frac{R}{R+G+B}, \quad g = \frac{G}{R+G+B}, \quad b = \frac{B}{R+G+B}$$
(1)

ii) seed segmentation through an adaptation (Eq. 2) of the red excess index (Meyer et al., 1999) and application of the Otsu (1979) method for thresholding;

$$ExR^* = 3r - 1.2g - 1.2b \tag{2}$$

iii) application of the median filter with a radius of 12 pixels (0.5%) to remove noise.

iv) calculation of individual and total (10 seeds) area by computing the number of binary pixels in the segmented image.

v) calculation of the intumescence (Eq. 3) of the soaking seeds at every time t:

$$IN(t) = \frac{100(A_t - A_0)}{A_0}$$
(3)

where  $A_t$  is the sum of the individual areas at time t,  $A_0$  is the sum of the areas at time t = 0 of imbibition. IN(t) was used as an indirect measure of water absorption, or relative increase in area, so that differences in shape and size of individual seeds could not affect water absorption estimates (Figure 1). The curves of each Petri dish were obtained, that is, for the each one of the three replications of each treatment.

The codes for obtaining the image-based curves were implemented in R language (www.R-project.org), with the use of the *EBImage* package (Pau et al., 2010).

#### Statistical analysis and modelling

The modelling of imbibition curves was performed according to Silva *et al.* (2018), using the *seedwater*® package version 2.0 (Silva, 2020) of software R. Peleg's (1988) model (Eq. 4) was fitted to describe the phase I.

$$IN(t) = \frac{t}{k_1 + k_2 t} + \varepsilon \tag{4}$$

Where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the model parameters, representing the kinetic rate of hydration in phase I and the capacity constant, respectively. The inverse of  $k_1$  was used to analyse the absorption rate of the treatments. The absorption rate was subjected to linear regression analysis to model the effect of herbicide concentrations by applying the Student's t-test and by evaluating the

model goodness-of-fit of the through the coefficient of determination. 95% confidence bands were built as suggested by Silva et al. (2017) to compare the curves obtained with each herbicide concentration.

A generalized linear model with a binomial-type response with logit link was fitted for the analysis of primary root count. The goodness-of-fit of this model was assessed using the mean absolute error. An analysis of deviance was performed considering the nominal level of 5% of significance. The statistical analyses were performed using software R version 3.4.3.

#### **Results and discussion**

The seed lot presented water content of 8.5%, germination of 94% and an average weight of a thousand seeds of 355 g. These values are similar to those found by Silva et al. (2017), studying commercial seed lots in Brazil.

With the adaptation of the red excess index, giving a greater weight to the "Red" channel, effective segmentations were obtained, with little noise to be removed by the median filter. Herbicide solutions in higher concentrations showed a milky appearance. Nevertheless, we did not see any loss in segmentation quality (Figure 1). Some published works endorse our findings: Miller et al. (2018) achieved excellent results with the segmentation of maize seeds based on thresholding hue the histogram with the Otsu method (Otsu, 1979). Yan et al. (2011) performed the segmentation of maize seeds with the "Red" channel and fixed threshold (55/255).

With a "Blue" channel and fixed threshold (140/255), Lev and Blahovec (2017) obtained effective segmentation of wheat seeds in imbibition keeping light conditions controlled throughout the experiment. In the present study, with the use of the dark chamber over the scanner, no environmental effects of saturation or luminosity were observed during the entire image acquisition period. We observed that the use of the dark chamber also dismisses with the use of coloured background paper saturated with solution. Based on Otsu method (Otsu, 1979), we found thresholds between 0.75 and 1.10 for the modified *ExR*, considering the nominal amplitude of the new index from -2.4 to 3.0.

After 28 hours of soaking, it was necessary to add solution again to the Petri dishes, due to evaporation. The average increments (%) in the specific area of the seeds in the herbicide solutions are shown in Figure 2. There is a significant (p < 0.05) effect of the herbicide concentration in terms of both absorption rate and final gain. The treatments with 0 and 2% reached about 30% of swelling at the end of the 28 hours, with an absorption rate (increase) of 2.78 and 3.56% h<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1), respectively. Silva et al. (2017) observed a lower value of the absorption rate, around 1.33% h<sup>-1</sup> in seeds soaking on water only. This difference is believed to be due to the imbibition medium, since the absorption of seeds immersed in solution is expected to be faster than in moist paper substrate. On the other hand, the results found by Bolaji et al. (2017) indicate that the water content of several maize cultivars increased up to 24 to 36 h after the start of soaking, with absorption rates in the first 12 hours ranging from 2.2 to 4.2% h<sup>-1</sup>. Both works cited corroborate our results in terms of duration of phase I. In addition, Miller et al. (2018) found area increments similar to the ones in the presented here, about 20% after 22 hours of soaking the seeds of several maize genotypes.

From 5% concentration, we observed final increments (28 h) significantly (p < 0.05) lower, between 13 and 20% (Figure 2). The treatments 5, 10 and 50% presented no statistical difference (p > 0.05) between 10 and 28 hours. There is a significant effect (p < 0.05) of the concentration of the herbicide on the absorption rate ( $1/k_1$ ), with a logarithmic effect, changing from 3.56 % h<sup>-1</sup> at 2% to 1.88 % h<sup>-1</sup> at 50% concentration (Table 1).

	Herbicide concentration** (%)						
Parameter	0	2	5	10	20	50	
$k_1$	0.3608	0.2840	0.3200	0.3965	0.3924	0.5330	
$k_2$	0.0191	0.0201	0.0525	0.0438	0.0307	0.0382	
Absorption rate <sup>*</sup> (% $h^{-1}$ )	2.78	3.56	3.15	2.65	2.60	1.88	
$R^2$	0.99	0.99	0.78	0.86	0.98	0.92	

**Table 1.** Estimates of the Peleg model for water absorption (% intumescence) of maize seeds soaked in solutions of the herbicide *s*-metolachlor + atrazine.

\*Significative linear effect of herbicide concentration (*F*-test *p*-value = 0.0077). Fitted equation:  $y = 3.9267 - 0.5036 \times \log(x)$ . R<sup>2</sup> = 0.96. \*\*The 0% concentration was not used for fitting the regression model.

Reider et al. (1970) found that soybean seeds soaking in atrazine solution absorbed 61% of the amount of herbicide present in the solution after 48 h. The herbicide absorption continued after water absorption ceased, indicating that absorption of herbicides could not be associated with absorption of water except during the first few hours when the seed were rapidly imbibing water.

The absorption of herbicides and herbicide syrup by the seeds depends on both physical and chemical soil attributes, and on characteristics of the seed such as the permeability of the integument. In addition, herbicides can also alter stoma closure (Dayan and Duke, 2014), which may have contributed to the reduced water absorption observed in the present study as the concentrations of atrazine + *s*-metolachlor increased. Studies (Abenavoli et al., 2010; Cheng and Cheng, 2015) have also shown that inhibitions of H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity and proton pumping function induced by herbicides, such as sorgoleone and juglone, affected the absorption of solute and water in maize, which may represent another explanation for the results we found.

Figure 3 shows the logit model fitted for primary root emission (%) under the effect of concentrations of *s*-metolachlor + atrazine throughout the experiment (114 hours). After 32 hours of soaking in the solution without herbicide, we observed radicle emission, indicating the beginning of phase III. Half of the seeds emitted radicle after 75 hours. And approximately 85% of seeds emitted primary root by the end of the experiment (114 hours).

From 20% concentration on, there was no root protrusion. With 10% concentration in aqueous solution, protrusion is only observed after 80 hours, reaching less than 35% emission at the end of the experiment. For 1% (2.9 g L<sup>-1</sup> s-metolachlor + 3.7 g L<sup>-1</sup> atrazine) concentration, emission reached 70% after four days. The fitted equation, with an average absolute error of 0.049, indicates that the absolute effect of the herbicide (-0.1285) is 2.3 times greater than the effect of the time (0.0548) of imbibition on the primary root emission.

Galon et al. (2016) observed that the herbicide *s*-metolachlor when applied solely in preemergence or ready-mix with atrazine promoted morphological changes in sweet sorghum cultivars, causing tissue swelling and young stem curling, leading to reduced growth and failure in the final stand of the crop. This is probably due to the main effect of *s*-metolachlor at the beginning of plant development, being absorbed in the coleoptile region of grasses and hypocotyl of dicotyledons (Pimentel et al., 2019). Cottingham and Hatzios (1992) observed a slow growth of maize seedlings for prolonging the contact time between the coleoptile and the soil treated with metolachlor. Another study conducted by Moore and Locke (2012) evaluated the phytotoxicity of atrazine and *s*-metolachlor in seeds of *Typha latifolia* L., showing that the exposure to atrazine in combination with *s*-metolachlor significantly reduced the root development. In addition, a stimulating effect for the development of coleoptile was observed at atrazine exposures at higher concentrations.

The phytotoxic effect of *s*-metolachlor on maize seed development is due to its capacity to impair some essential metabolic activities triggering oxidative stress and inhibiting long chain fatty acids synthesis (Panfili et al., 2019), thus affecting germination.

Qi et al. (2017) showed the effects of atrazine on the third stage of imbibition, which is associated with atrazine exposure during the vegetative and reproductive periods, significantly inhibited the germination and radicle growth of *Amaranthus retroflexus* L. The dose applied had significant effect on percent germination, mean germination time, hypocotyl length and radicle length. Higher effects of herbicides like atrazine were noticed on seeds when they are applied during the early reproductive stage rather than the later growth stage. This happens due to the rapid embryo cell division after fertilization followed by a subsequent fall in cell division (Qi et al., 2017).

In order to guarantee the management of weeds with initial applications of pre-planting, pre-emergence and initial post-planting, indicators that respond to short-term biochemical and microbiological criteria regarding sensitivity and herbicide doses in the system are necessary (Chaer and Tótola, 2007; Hang et al., 2007). As herbicide phytotoxicity in maize seeds is related to application attributes such as timing and dose and to soil attributes such as clay content, organic carbon and moisture, our findings suggest that is preferable to manage weeds with preemergence applications rather than with early preplant or preplant incorporated. Soil water content and herbicide sorption should be taken into account. In soil solution, 58 g L<sup>-1</sup> s-metolachlor + 74 g L<sup>-1</sup> atrazine can be fully restrictive to seed emergence.

#### **Financial support**

This work was financially supported by the Instituto Federal Goiano (www.ifgoiano.edu.br) and by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development - *CNPq* [grant number: 307334/2018-0].

#### **Conflicts of interest**

None to declare.

#### References

AGROFIT (2020). Sistema de agrotóxicos fitossanitários. Ministério da Agricultura. Available at: <u>http://agrofit.agricultura.gov.br/agrofit\_cons/principal\_agrofit\_cons</u>

**Abenavoli MR, Lupini A, Oliva S and Sorgona A.** (2010) Allelochemical effects on net nitrate uptake and plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in maize seedlings. Biol Plant **54**,149–153.

**Barnes ER, Knezevic SZ, Lawrence NC, Irmak S, Rodriguez O and Jhala AJ.** (2019) Preemergence herbicide delays the critical time of weed removal in popcorn. Weed Technology **33**,785-793.

Bedmar F, Daniel PE, Costa JL and Giménez D. (2011) Sorption of acetochlor, Smetolachlor, and atrazine in surface and subsurface soil horizons of Argentina. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry **30**,1990-1996. **Boelt B, Shrestha S, Salimi Z, Jørgensen JR, Nicolaisen M and Carstensen JM.** (2018) Multispectral imaging – a new tool in seed quality assessment? Seed Science Research **28**,222-228.

**Bolaji OT, Awonorin SO, Shittu TA and Sanni LO.** (2017) Changes induced by soaking period on the physical properties of maize in the production of Ogi. Cogent Food & Agriculture **3**,1323571.

Carles L, Joly M, Bonnemoy F, Leremboure M, Donnadieu F, Batisson I and Besse-Hoggan P. (2018) Biodegradation and toxicity of a maize herbicide mixture: mesotrione, nicosulfuron and S-metolachlor. Journal of Hazardous Materials **354**,42-53.

**Chaer MC and Tótola MR.** (2007) Impacto do manejo de resíduos orgânicos durante a reforma de plantios de eucalipto sobre indicadores de qualidade do solo. R. Bras. Ci. Solo **31**(6),1381-1396.

**Cheng F and Cheng Z.** (2015) Research Progress on the use of Plant Allelopathy in Agriculture and the Physiological and Ecological Mechanisms of Allelopathy. Front Plant Sci **6**,1020.

**Cottingham CK and Hatzios KK.** (1992) Basis of differential tolerance of two corn hybrids (*Zea mays*) to metolachlor. Weed Sci **40**,359-363.

**Dayan FE and Duke SO.** (2014) Natural compounds as next-generation herbicides. Plant Physiol **166**(3),1090-1105.

**de Oliveira LA, de Honorio MJ, Grecco KL and Tornisielo VL.** (2019) Atrazine movement in corn cultivated soil using HYDRUS-2D: A comparison between real and simulated data. Journal of Environmental Management **248**,109311.

**Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nations** (2017) Crop Prospects for 2018. Statistics Division – FAOSTAT.

Galon L, Fernandes FF, Andres A, Silva AF and Forte CT. (2016) Selectivity and efficiency of herbicides in weed control on sweet sorghum. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 46,123-131.

Gomes MP, Bicalho EM, Smedbol E, Cruz FVDS, Lucotte M and Garcia QS. (2017) Glyphosate can decrease germination of glyphosate-resistant soybeans. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **65**,2279-2286.

Halcro K, McNabb K, Lockinger A, Socquet-Juglard D, Bett KE and Noble SD. (2020) The BELT and phenoSEED platforms: shape and colour phenotyping of seed samples. Plant Methods 16,49.

Hang S, Nassetta M, Cañas AI, Rampoldi EA, Fernández-Canigia MV and Díaz-Zorita M. (2007). Changes in the atrazine extractable residues in no-tilled Mollisols. Soil & Tillage Research 96,243-249.

Heck T, Cinelli R, Polito RA, Ribas JL, Bagnara F, Hahn AM and Nunes AL. (2020). A importância dos herbicidas residuais no controle da tiririca. Brazilian Journal of Development, **6**(9),65147-65163.

ISTA (1985) International Seed Testing Association. Seed Science & Technology 13,299-355.

Joly P, Bonnemoy F, Charvy JC, Bohatier J and Mallet C. (2013) Toxicity assessment of the maize herbicides S-metolachlor, benoxacor, mesotrione and nicosulfuron, and their corresponding commercial formulations, alone and in mixtures, using the Microtox test. Chemosphere 93,2444–2450.

Karam D, da Cruz MB and Rizzardi MA. (2006) Manejo de plantas daninhas na cultura do milho. Available at: <u>https://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/30122/1/Manejo-plantas.pdf</u>.

Lerro CC, Beane Freeman LE, Portengen L, Kang D, Lee K, Blair A, Lynch CF, Bakke B, De Roos AJ and Vermeulen RC. (2017) A longitudinal study of atrazine and 2,4-D exposure and oxidative stress markers among iowa corn farmers. Environmental and molecular mutagenesis, **58**(1),30–38.

Lev J and Blahovec J. (2017) Imbibition of wheat seeds: Application of image analysis, International Agrophysics **31**,475-481.

Lowry DM, Greiner D, Fretheim M, Ubben M and Dhanwada KR. (2013) Mechanism of metolachlor action due to alterations in cell cycle progression. Cell Biol Toxicol **29**,283–291.

Meyers SP, Nelson CJ and Harrocks RD. (1984) Temperature effects on imbibition, germination and respiration of grain sorghum seeds. Field Crops Research 8,135-142.

Meyer GE, Hindman TW and Lakshmi K. (1999) Machine vision detection parameters for plant species identification. Proc. SPIE 3543, Precision Agriculture and Biological Quality.

Miller ND, Stelpflug SC, Kaeppler SM and Spalding EP. (2018) A machine vision platform for measuring imbibition of maize kernels: quantification of genetic effects and correlations with germination. Plant Methods 14,115.

**Moore MT and Locke MA.** (2012) Phytotoxicity of Atrazine, S-Metolachlor, and Permethrin to *Typha latifolia* (Linneaus) Germination and Seedling Growth. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology **89**,292–295.

**Mueller CT, Boswell BW, Mueller SS and Steckel LE.** (2014) Dissipation of Fomesafen, Saflufenacil, Sulfentrazone, and Flumioxazin from a Tennessee Soil under Field Conditions. Weed Science **62**,664-671.

**Noblet A, Leymarie J and Bailly C.** (2017) Chilling temperature remodels phospholipidome of *Zea mays* seeds during imbibition. Scientific Reports 7,8886.

Otsu N. (1979) A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. IEEE Transactions

on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics 9,62-66.

**Panfili I, Bartucca ML, Marrollo G, Povero G and Del Buono D.** (2019) Application of a plant biostimulant to improve maize (*Zea mays*) tolerance to metolachlor. J Agric Food Chem. **6;67**(44),12164-12171.

**Pau G, Fuchs F, Sklyar O, Boutros M and Huber W.** (2010) EBImage - an R package for image processing with applications to cellular phenotypes. Bioinformatics **26**,979-981.

**Peleg M.** (1988) An empirical-model for the description of the moisture sorption curves. Journal of Food Science **53**,1216–1217.

**Pimentel GV, Guimarães DF, Moreira SG, Ávila MOT, Martins IA and Bruzi AT.** (2019) Selectivity and effectiveness of herbicides in the grain sorghum crop. Planta Daninha **37**,e019187771.

Qi Y, Yan B, Fu G, Guan X, Du L and Li J. (2017) Germination of seeds and seedling growth of *Amaranthus retroflexus* L. following sublethal exposure of parent plants to herbicides. Sci Rep 7(1),157.

**Reider G, Buchholtz KP and Kust CA.** (1970) Uptake of herbicides by soybean seed. Weed Science **18**,101-105.

**Silva AR.** (2020) Seedwater: models for drying and soaking kinetics of seeds. R package version 2.0. Available at: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/package=seedwater</u>.

Silva AR and Lima RP. (2017) Determination of maximum curvature point with the R package soilphysics. International Journal of Current Research 9,45241-45245.

**Song Y, Fiaz M, Kim DW, Kim J and Kwon CH.** (2019) Increasing forage yield and effective weed control of corn-soybean mixed forage for livestock through using by different herbicides. Journal of Animal Science and Technology **61**(4),185-191.

**Subedi M, Willenborg CJ and Vandenberg A.** (2017) Influence of harvest aid herbicides on seed germination, seedling vigor and milling quality traits of red lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.). Frontiers in Plant Science **8**,311.

Tanabata T, Shibaya T, Hori K, Ebana K and Yano M. (2012) SmartGrain: high-through-put phenotyping software for measuring seed shape through image analysis. Plant Physiology **160**,1871–1880.

**Yan X, Wang J, Liu S and Zhang C** (2011) Purity identification of maize seed based on color characteristics, pp. 620-628 in Li D., Liu Y. and Chen Y. (Ed.) Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture IV. Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer.

## **Figure captions**



**Figure 1**. Maize seeds in imbibition process. (a) The seeds at the beginning of imbibition (0 h) in 0% solution of the herbicide S-Metolachlor + Atrazine. (b) A zoom in a segmented seed. (c) The kernel density of the adapted red excess index (*ExR*\*), with demarcation of the threshold between background (left) and seed (right). (d) The result of segmentation. (e-h) The analyses of the same seeds after 28 hours of soaking. (i-l) The analysis of seeds soaking in 50% herbicide solution after 28 hours.



Figure 2. 95% confidence bands for maize seed soaking curves under the effect of S-Metolachlor + Atrazine concentrations.



**Figure 3**. Regression of radicle emission (*z*, %) as a function of the concentration of the herbicide S-Metolachlor + Atrazine (*x*, %) and the time (*y*, hours) of soaking maize seeds. Fitted equation:  $z(x, y) = \frac{\exp(-4.1727 - 0.1285x + 0.0548y - 0.0013xy)}{1 + \exp(-4.1727 - 0.1285x + 0.0548y - 0.0013xy)}$ .

## **Instructions for authors**

## **Seed Science Research**

#### Scope of the Journal

Seed Science Research, the official journal of the International Society for Seed Science, is an international journal that publishes original papers, as well as reviews and opinion papers, dealing primarily with the fundamentals of seed research with emphasis on the physiology, morphology, biochemistry, molecular biology and ecology of seed development, dormancy and germination. The importance of seeds to propagation, food, biofuel and biodiversity cannot be underestimated and therefore submissions on seed genetics, seed biotechnology, seed treatment and seedling establishment are especially encouraged if they are novel and 'seed driven'.

#### **Publication Criteria**

The submitted manuscript presents the results of primary scientific research. *Seed Science Research* welcomes all submissions that will contribute to the base of scientific knowledge pertaining to seeds in the widest sense. *Seed Science Research* editorial criteria focus on the technical aspects of a study rather than more subjective evaluations such as 'impact' or 'interest level'. *Seed Science Research* wishes to publish any report of scientific research that will make a valid contribution to the scientific discipline.

Seed Science Research will consider submissions describing methods, software, databases, or other tools if they are original and not in any way related to commercial purposes.

Seed Science Research does not accept for publication studies that have already been published, in whole or in part, elsewhere in the peer-reviewed literature. All figures included in manuscripts should also be original, and should not have been published in any previous publications. However, *Seed Science Research* will consider manuscripts that have been published in a thesis or have been presented at conferences.

*Seed Science Research* will likely reject submissions that replicate or are derivative of existing work if authors do not provide adequate scientific rationale and justification.

*Seed Science Research* only considers submissions describing experiments that were executed with proper controls and replications. Results must be statistically robust. Methods and reagents must be described in detail for other researchers to repeat the described experiments. The data must support the conclusions. Possible implications for the described results may be discussed as long as these are hypotheses instead of conclusions.

Seed Science Research copyedits accepted manuscripts, but the language in submissions must be as clear, correct, and unambiguous as possible. Seed Science Research may reject submissions if the language is difficult to understand or includes many errors, or we may recommend authors to seek editorial help before submitting a revision. Studies submitted to *Seed Science Research* must have been conducted to the highest ethical standards. *Seed Science Research* reserves the right to reject any submission that does not meet these standards, which in some cases are more stringent than local ethical standards.

*Seed Science Research* requires authors to follow standards and practice for data deposition in publicly available resources, including those for gene sequences, microarray expression and similar kinds of data. Failure to comply with community standards may result in rejection.

## **Manuscript Guidelines**

Authors are committed to follow in detail the manuscript guidelines as outlined below. If authors fail to do so, their submission will be rejected with the request to resubmit a manuscript that follows all the guidelines. Authors are particularly urged to pay attention to both format and accuracy of the references, in body text, figures, tables and list of references. Reviews, opinion papers and short communications may differ from the general guidelines for full-length papers but should always contain an abstract and keywords.

## Authorship

Authorship should be assumed only by those workers who have contributed materially to the work and its report, and who accept the responsibility for the accuracy of the concepts expressed. Colleagues who have otherwise assisted or collaborated should be recognized in the section for acknowledgements.

## Language

The language of the Journal is English, but acceptance of a manuscript will reflect scientific rather than grammatical content. The editors undertake to facilitate the publication of papers from those authors whose native language is not English.

Cambridge recommends that authors have their manuscripts checked by an English language native speaker before submission; this will ensure that submissions are judged at peer review exclusively on academic merit. We list a number of third-party services specialising in language editing and / or translation, and suggest that authors contact as appropriate. Use of any of these services is voluntary, and at the author's own expense.

<u>www.cambridge.org/core/services/authors/language-services</u> (https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/authors/language-services)

## Submission

Manuscript submission is exclusively via the web-based Editorial Manager, and must include a complete set of Figures. Please follow the submission link at <u>www.journals.cambridge.org/ssr</u> (<u>http://www.journals.cambridge.org/ssr</u>). Further information regarding Figure formats is outlined below.

Text

The title page should include:

- A descriptive title;
- A running head title not to exceed 50 characters;
- Authors' complete names (first names and initials followed by family name);
- Authors' academic addresses (including country of each author);
- Corresponding author's full address, telephone, fax number, and email address;
- Keywords: seven or less, suggested in alphabetical order; they should include the main subjects of the research and plant material;
- Declaration of all sources of financial support, including grants from non-profit organizations and/or any commercial support.

Authors' qualifications or academic positions should not be included, except in the address for correspondence. Standard abbreviations (e.g. Fig. and Figs) and metric units must be used. Use British rather than American spellings. Use 'z' rather than 's' spellings in words with 'ize'.

## Abstract

Each paper must commence with an accurate, informative abstract, in one paragraph, that is complete in itself and intelligible without reference to text or Figures. It should not exceed 250 words. A short title should be provided as a running head.

## Key Words

Not more than seven should be suggested in alphabetical order. They should include the main subjects of the research and plant material.

## Tables

Tables should be reduced to the simplest form, and present only essential data. Captions should be provided. Each table should be appropriately cited within the text.

## Figures

Figures are encouraged where they are a real contribution to the article.

To ensure that your Figures are reproduced to the highest possible standards, Cambridge Journals recommends the following formats and resolutions. NB: Figures must be supplied as separate files, and not imbedded within manuscript document. Any required lettering or numbering must be inserted by the author.

Please ensure that your Figures are saved at final publication size and are in our recommended file formats. Following these guidelines will result in high quality images being reproduced in both the print and the online versions of the Journal.

Please ensure that all graphs are exclusively submitted as 2-dimensional images.

## Line artwork

Format: tif or eps

Colour mode: black and white (also known as 1-bit)

Resolution: 1200 dpi

## **Combination artwork (line/tone)**

Format: tif or eps

Colour mode: grayscale (also known as 8-bit)

Resolution: 800 dpi

## Black and white halftone artwork

Format: tif Colour mode: grayscale (also known as 8-bit)

Resolution: 300 dpi

## **Colour halftone artwork**

Format: tif

Colour mode: CMYK colour

Resolution: 300 dpi

# NB: Colour artwork may be published in print at the author's expense. Please ask for costs on submission. Please note the journal will be electronic-only from 2014.

If you require any further guidance on creating suitable electronic Figures, please visit <u>http://dx.sheridan.com/guidelines/digital\_art.html</u>

(<u>http://dx.sheridan.com/guidelines/digital\_art.html</u>). Here you will find extensive guidelines on preparing electronic Figures and also have access to an online preflighting tool (http://dx.sheridan.com/index.html) where you can check if your Figures are suitable for reproduction.

## **Supplementary Material**

There will normally be one of the following reasons for you to be supplying supplementary material to accompany the online version of your article:

- You wish to link to additional information which due to its nature does not lend itself to print media (examples- full data sets, movie or sounds files etc...)
- The Editor of the Journal has requested that you extract certain information from the original article in order to allow for space constraints of the print version.

• You have requested additional material to be available to accompany an article that does not normally allow such material to be included (example – tables to accompany a correspondence article).

**N.B:** Please note that no copyediting or quality assurance measures will be undertaken on supplementary material (other than to ensure that the file is intact). The authors therefore warrant that the supplementary material that they submit is in a suitable format for publication in this manner. The material shall be published online in exactly the form that it is supplied.

## Submission

Supplementary material should be included at the submission stage. Please follow the following instructions to supply supplementary material to accompany the online version of your article:

- Each supplementary file must be supplied as a separate file. Do not supply this material as part of the file destined for publication in the print journal.
- Each supplementary file must have a clear title (eg, Supplementary Figure 1).
- Provide a text summary for each file of no more than 50 words. The summary should describe the contents of the file. Descriptions of individual figures or tables should be provided if these items are submitted as separate files. If a group of figures is submitted together in one file, the description should indicate how many figures are contained within the file and provide a general description of what the figures collectively show.
- The file type and file size in parentheses.
- Ensure that each piece of supplementary material is clearly referred to at least once in the print version of the paper at an appropriate point in the text, and is also listed at the end of the paper before the reference section.

## Format and file size

- File sizes should be as small as possible in order to ensure that users can download them quickly.
- Images should be a maximum size of 640 x 480 pixels at a resolution of 72 pixels per inch.
- Authors should limit the number of files to under ten, with a total size not normally exceeding 3 MB. Sound/movie files may be up to 10 MB per file; colour PDFs/PowerPoint may be up to 5 MB per file; all other general file types may be up to 2 MB per file but most files should be much smaller.
- We accept files in any of the following formats (if in doubt please enquire first):
- MS Word document (.doc), Adobe Acrobat (.pdf), Plain ASCII text (.txt), Rich Text Format (.rtf), WordPerfect document (.wpd), HTML document (.htm), MS Excel spreadsheet (.xls), GIF image (.gif), JPEG image (.jpg), TIFF image (.tif), MS PowerPoint slide (.ppt), QuickTime movie (.mov), Audio file (.wav), Audio file (.mp3), MPEG/MPG animation (.mpg).

If your file sizes exceed these limits or if you cannot submit in these formats, please seek advice from the editor handling your manuscript.

## References

References are loosely based on the Harvard System, they are cited in the text, using the author's surname and the year of publication, e.g. Henderson (1999) in chronological order. Where a reference has two authors 'and' should be used in the text and the reference list. Where three or more authors appear 'et al.' should be used in the text, e.g. Hoekstra et al. (1999), but the surnames and initials of all the authors must be given in the list as follows:

## Journal Article

**Dussert S, Chabrillange N, Engelmann F and Hamon S.** (1999) Quantitative estimation of seed desiccation sensitivity using a quantal response model: application to nine species of the genus Coffea L. Seed Science Research 9,135-144.

## Books

**Cromarty AS, Ellis RH and Roberts EH** (1985) The design of seed storage facilities for genetic conservation. Rome, International Board of Plant Genetic Resources

**Leopold AC and Vertucci CW** (1986) Physical attributes of desiccated seeds, pp. 22-34 in Leopold, A.C. (Ed.) Membranes, metabolism and dry organisms. Ithaca, NY, Cornell University Press.

**Chang CW** (1975) Fluorides, pp. 57-95 in Mudd JB; Kowlowski TT (Eds) Responses of plants to air pollution. New York, Academic Press.

## **Conference Proceedings**

**Eira MTS, Walters C and Caldas LS** (1999) Critical water content for desiccation damage in coffee seeds: a role for aqueous glasses? p. 105 in Proceedings from the VI international workshop of seed biology, January 1999, Merida, Mexico.

**Sun WQ** (1997) Function of the glassy state in seed storage stability, pp. 169-179 in Taylor, AG; Huang X-L (Eds) Progress in seed research: proceedings of the second international conference on seed science and technology. Geneva, New York, Communication Services, New York State Agricultural Experiment Station.

## **Required Statements**

## Acknowledgements

You may acknowledge individuals or organisations that provided advice, support (non-financial). Formal financial support and funding should be listed in the following section.

## Financial Support

Please provide details of the sources of financial support for all authors, including grant numbers. For example, "This work was supported by the Medical research Council (grant number XXXXXX)". Multiple grant numbers should be separated by a comma and space, and where research was funded by more than one agency the different agencies should be

separated by a semi-colon, with "and" before the final funder. Grants held by different authors should be identified as belonging to individual authors by the authors' initials. For example, "This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (A.B., grant numbers XXXX, YYYY), (C.D., grant number ZZZZ); the Natural Environment Research Council (E.F., grant number FFFF); and the National Institutes of Health (A.B., grant number GGGG), (E.F., grant number HHHH)". Where no specific funding has been provided for research, please provide the following statement: "This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors." The Financial Support statement should be placed in the Acknowledgements. Papers that do not include a Financial Support statement will not be reviewed.

## **Conflicts of Interest declaration**

Conflicts of interest exists when an author has interests that might be perceived to inappropriately influence the content or publication of their work, even if this has not been influenced. Authors must therefore disclose potentially conflicting interests so that others can make judgements about such effects.

At the time of submission authors should include a Conflicts of Interest declaration after Financial Support above their references, including any financial arrangements or connections they may have that are pertinent to the submitted manuscript and that may be perceived as potentially biasing their paper. Non-financial interests that could be relevant in this context should also be disclosed. If no relevant interests exist, this should be stated. This requirement applies to all the authors of a paper and to all categories of papers including letters to the editor.

The Conflicts of Interest declaration should be placed after Financial Support above the references. Example wording for a declaration is as follows: "Conflicts of Interest: Author A is employed at company B. Author C owns shares in company D, is on the Board of company E and is a member of organisation F. Author G has received grants from company H." If no conflicts of interests exist, the declaration should state "Conflicts of Interest: The author(s) declare none".

Papers that do not include a Conflicts of Interest declaration will not be reviewed.

## Ethical standards

Where research involves human and/or animal experimentation, the following statements should be included (as applicable): "The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national guidelines on human experimentation (please name) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008, and has been approved by the institutional committees (please name) ." and "The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national guides on the care and use of laboratory animals (please name) and has been approved by the institutional committee (please name)."

The Ethical Standards statement should be placed after the Conflicts of Interest section before the References. If the research does not involve human and/or animal experimentation, this statement should be omitted. Papers reporting the results of human and/or animal experimentation that do not contain an Ethical Standards statement will not be reviewed. For more information on the ethical standards and procedures of Cambridge Core, please visit <u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/authors/publishing-ethics</u> (<u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/authors/publishing-ethics</u>).</u>

## **Review Process**

All manuscripts submitted to Seed Science Research are subject to rigorous review that consists of the following steps:

1. Initial submissions are reviewed by internal staff to ensure adherence to Seed Science Research policies, including ethical requirements. An initial technical check will determine whether manuscript guidelines are followed.

2. Submissions are then assigned to the Editor-in-Chief for evaluation based on the Seed Science Research Publication Criteria. The Editor-in-Chief decides whether reviews from additional experts are needed to evaluate the manuscript. The majority of Seed Science Research submissions are evaluated by two internationally acknowledged experts in the field, but it is up to the Editor-in-Chief to determine the number of reviews required. 07/01/2021 Instructions for authors https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/seed-science-research/information/instructions-contributors# 9/11

3. If the Editor-in-Chief decides that a manuscript requires peer review, it will be assigned to an Associate- Editor. The Associate-Editor will identify reviewers and take care of further handling of the manuscript. The AssociateEditor chooses between the following decisions: Accept, Minor Revision, Major Revision, Reject, Reject without Review.

4. If the decision is Minor Revision or Major Revision, authors have 21 days to resubmit the revised manuscript. Authors may contact the Associate-Editor or Editor-in-Chief if they require an extension.

5. Upon resubmission, the Editor-in-Chief may choose to send the manuscript back to the Associate-Editor for a second round of reviews, or may render a decision based on personal expertise.

6. The Editor-in-Chief copy-edits the manuscript and may contact the authors for minor queries.

## Proofs

The corresponding author will receive a PDF file of page proofs by email.

## Copyright

The policy of Seed Science Research is that authors (or in some cases their employers) retain copyright and grant Cambridge University Press a licence to publish their work. In the case of gold open access articles this is a nonexclusive licence. Authors must complete and return an author publishing agreement form as soon as their article has been accepted for publication; the journal is unable to publish the article without this. Please download the appropriate publishing agreement here (<u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/seed-</u> scienceresearch/information/author-publishing-agreement).

For open access articles, the form also sets out the Creative Commons licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/) under which the article is made available to end users: a fundamental principle of open access is that content should not simply be accessible but should also be freely re-usable. Articles will be published under a Creative Commons Attribution license (CC-BY) by default. This means that the article is freely available to read, copy and redistribute, and can also be adapted (users can "remix, transform, and build upon" the work) for any commercial or non-commercial purpose, as long as proper attribution is given. Authors can, in the publishing agreement form, choose a different kind of Creative Commons license (including those prohibiting non-commercial and derivative use) if they prefer.

## Offprints

The author of an accepted paper will receive a free PDF file via email on request; these are exclusively for personal use. Paper offprints may be purchased prior to the article going to press. An order form is available from the Publisher for this purpose and will be sent to the corresponding author with the article proofs. Completed order forms must be received before the article is printed. Please note the journal will be electronic-only from 2014, from which point no paper offprints will be available.

## Reprints

Article reprints (following publication) are available; please email kmunns@cambridge.org for minimum print run details / costs.

## Permission

Requests for permission to reproduce any material originally published in Seed Science Research should be sent to the Permissions Manager at <u>lnicol@cambridge.org</u>

## Announcements

Meetings and courses may be announced in each Issue. Please email details to: <u>kmunns@cambridge.org</u>

Cambridge Core's homepage, <u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/</u> (<u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/</u>), offers paying advertisers the opportunity to promote conferences / courses (subject to editorial approval).

For rate details please email: <u>ad-sales@cambridge.org</u>

*Seed Science Research* now requires that all corresponding authors identify themselves using their ORCID iD when submitting a manuscript to the journal. ORCID provides a unique identifier for researchers and, through integration in key research workflows such as manuscript submission and grant applications, provides the following benefits:

- Discoverability: ORCID increases the discoverability of your publications, by enabling smarter publisher systems and by helping readers to reliably find work that you've authored.
- Convenience: As more organisations use ORCID, providing your iD or using it to register for services will automatically link activities to your ORCID record, and will enable you to share this information with other systems and platforms you use, saving you re-keying information multiple times.
- Keeping track: Your ORCID record is a neat place to store and (if you choose) share validated information about your research activities and affiliations.

If you don't already have an iD, you'll need to create one if you decide to submit a manuscript to Seed Science Research. You can register for one directly from your user account on Editorial Manager or via <u>https://ORCID.org/register (https://ORCID.org/register</u>).

If you already have an iD, please use this when submitting, either by linking it to your Editorial Manager account or supplying it during submission by using the "Link to ORCID record" button.